

1-1-2022

## A note on the transfinite diameter of Bernstein sets

ÖZCAN YAZICI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

YAZICI, ÖZCAN (2022) "A note on the transfinite diameter of Bernstein sets," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 46: No. 7, Article 14. <https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3300>  
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol46/iss7/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## A note on the transfinite diameter of Bernstein sets

Özcan YAZICI\* 

Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 08.02.2022

Accepted/Published Online: 03.07.2022

Final Version: 05.09.2022

**Abstract:** A compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called Bernstein set if, for some constant  $M > 0$ , the following inequality

$$\|D^\alpha P\|_K \leq M^{|\alpha|} (\deg P)^{|\alpha|} \|P\|_K$$

is satisfied for every multiindex  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and for every polynomial  $P$ . We provide here a lower bound for the transfinite diameter of Bernstein sets by using generalized extremal Leja points.

**Key words:** Transfinite diameter, Bernstein and Markov sets, Pluripolar sets, Leja points

### 1. Introduction

Bernstein inequality for the closed unit disc  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  states that

$$\|P'\|_\Delta \leq \deg P \|P\|_\Delta$$

for every polynomial  $P$  where  $\|\cdot\|_\Delta$  is the supremum norm on  $\Delta$ . A compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called Bernstein set if there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|D^\alpha P\|_K \leq M^{|\alpha|} (\deg P)^{|\alpha|} \|P\|_K \tag{1.1}$$

for every multiindex  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and for every polynomial  $P$ . Siciak in [5] showed that Bernstein sets are not pluripolar, that is, they are not contained in an infinity locus of a plurisubharmonic function. It is known that a compact set  $K$  is pluripolar if and only if its transfinite diameter  $d(K) = 0$  (see [6]). The transfinite diameter  $d(K)$  of a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  will be defined in Section 2.

A compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a Markov set if it satisfies the Markov inequality:

$$\|D^\alpha P\|_K \leq M^{|\alpha|} (\deg P)^{r|\alpha|} \|P\|_K$$

for some  $M > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ , for every multiindex  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and for every polynomial  $P$ . We note that every Bernstein set is a Markov set with  $r = 1$ . In dimension one, Białas-Cieź [1] proved that Markov sets are not pluripolar. When  $n \geq 2$ , nonpluripolarity of Markov sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is an open problem. Thus finding a lower bound for the transfinite diameter for Markov sets is an interesting and hard problem. As an approach to this

\*Correspondence: oyazici@metu.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 32U15, 41A17, 31C15.

problem, Białas-Cieź and Jedrzejowski in [2] found a lower bound for the transfinite diameter of Bernstein sets. Namely, they showed that

$$d(K) \geq \frac{1}{M2^{n-1}}$$

for any Bernstein set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Their proof uses the deep result of Zaharjuta [6] which computes the transfinite diameter  $d(K)$  of a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with directional Chebyshev constants. In this paper, we give a simpler proof for a similar lower bound for the transfinite diameter  $d(K)$  of any Bernstein set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a Bernstein set. Then*

$$d(K) \geq \frac{1}{enM}.$$

Our proof uses idea of [4] related to generalized extremal Leja points.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be the set of natural numbers and  $\alpha(j) = (\alpha_1(j), \dots, \alpha_n(j))$  be a multiindex in  $\mathbb{N}^n$  with the length  $|\alpha(j)| = \alpha_1(j) + \dots + \alpha_n(j)$ . We denote by  $e_j(z) = z^{\alpha(j)} = z_1^{\alpha_1(j)} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n(j)}$  all the monomials in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ordered by increasing degrees, that is,  $|\alpha(j)| \leq |\alpha(k)|$  if  $j \leq k$  and monomials of a fixed degree are ordered lexicographically. Let  $h_s$  be the number of monomials of degree  $s$  and  $m_s$  be the number of monomials of degree at most  $s$ . It is easy to check that

$$h_s = \binom{s+n-1}{s}, \quad m_s = \binom{s+n}{n}.$$

Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  be points in  $K$ . Vandermonde determinant is defined by

$$V(w_1, \dots, w_k) := \det[e_i(w_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,k}.$$

Note that  $V(w_1, \dots, w_{m_s})$  is a polynomial of degree

$$l_{m_s} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_s} \deg(e_i) = \sum_{i=0}^s i \cdot h_i = n \binom{s+n}{n+1}.$$

A system  $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k\}$  of  $k$  points in  $K$  is called a set of Fekete points of order  $k$  if

$$|V(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k)| = \sup_{\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subset K} |V(w_1, \dots, w_k)|.$$

Using Fekete points we define

$$d_s(K) := V_s^{\frac{1}{l_s}}$$

where  $V_s = V_s(K) := |V(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)|$  and  $l_s = \sum_{i=1}^s \deg(e_i)$ . Existence of the limit

$$d(K) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} d_s(K)$$

was shown by Fekete [3] in dimension  $n = 1$  and by Zaharjuta [6] for  $n \geq 2$ . The limit  $d(K)$  is called the transfinite diameter of  $K$ . We should note that the set of Fekete points of order  $i$  is not necessarily a subset of the set of Fekete points of order  $j$  when  $i \leq j$ . In [4], Jedrzejowski generalized extremal Leja points to the case of compact sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and proved that the transfinite diameter can be computed by means of them. For Leja points (in multidimensional case as well as in the complex plane)  $i^{th}$  order extremal set is a subset of  $j^{th}$  order extremal set when  $i \leq j$ . The construction is inductive. Let  $a_1$  be an arbitrary point of  $K$  and  $W_1 = 1$ . Given a set of points  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  in  $K$  the polynomial  $P_k(z)$  is defined by

$$P_k(z) := V(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, z) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ e_2(a_1) & \dots & e_2(a_{k-1}) & e_2(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_k(a_1) & \dots & e_k(a_{k-1}) & e_k(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $a_k$  is chosen so that

$$W_k := |P_k(a_k)| = \sup_{z \in K} |P_k(z)|. \tag{2.1}$$

Then it follows from [4] that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} W_k^{\frac{1}{k}} = d(K).$$

### 3. Proof of the main result

We will need the following generalization of Stirling formula in the proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 3.1** *There exists a  $k_0$  such that if  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $|\alpha| \geq k_0$ , then*

$$\alpha! > \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|+1/2} (en)^{-|\alpha|}}{2}$$

where  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!$  and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ .

**Proof** Since for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$n^k = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!}$$

we have  $|\alpha|! \leq \alpha! n^{|\alpha|}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Applying Stirling formula to  $|\alpha|!$ , we obtain that

$$\alpha! \geq \frac{|\alpha|!}{n^{|\alpha|}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|+1/2} (en)^{-|\alpha|}}{2}$$

for all  $\alpha$  such that  $|\alpha| \geq k_0$  for some  $k_0$ . □

**Proof** [Proof of Theorem 1.1] Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a Bernstein set which satisfies inequality (1.1) and the set of order  $j-1$  extremal points  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}\}$  for the transfinite diameter of  $K$  be constructed as above. We define the polynomial

$$P(z) := \frac{V(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, z)}{V(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1})}.$$

Then  $P(z)$  is of the form

$$P(z) = e_j(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_i e_i(z)$$

for some constants  $c_i$  and hence  $D^{\alpha(j)}P = \alpha(j)!$ . It follows from (1.1) that

$$\alpha(j)! \leq M^{|\alpha(j)|} |\alpha(j)|^{|\alpha(j)|} \frac{W_j}{W_{j-1}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $W_j$  is defined as in (2.1). Using the inequality (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} W_j &\geq \frac{\alpha(j)!W_{j-1}}{M^{|\alpha(j)|} |\alpha(j)|^{|\alpha(j)|}} \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \frac{\prod_{k=k_0}^j \alpha(k)!W_{k_0-1}}{M^{\sum_{k=k_0}^j |\alpha(k)|} \prod_{k=k_0}^j |\alpha(k)|^{|\alpha(k)|}} \\ &\geq \frac{(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}})^{j-k_0+1} (\prod_{k=k_0}^j |\alpha(k)|)^{\frac{1}{2}} W_{k_0-1}}{(enM)^{\sum_{k=k_0}^j |\alpha(k)|}} > (enM)^{-l_j} W_{k_0-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $l_j = \sum_{k=1}^j |\alpha(k)|$ . Note that

$$W_{k_0-1} \geq \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{k_0-1} \alpha(k)!}{M^{\sum_{k=2}^{k_0-1} |\alpha(k)|} \prod_{k=2}^{k_0-1} |\alpha(k)|^{|\alpha(k)|}} > 0.$$

Hence

$$W_j^{\frac{1}{l_j}} \geq (enM)^{-1} (W_{k_0-1})^{\frac{1}{l_j}},$$

and

$$d(K) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} W_j^{\frac{1}{l_j}} \geq \frac{1}{enM}.$$

□

**Acknowledgment**

The author is supported by TÜBİTAK 3501 Project No 120F084. I would like to thank the referee for his/her remarks and suggestions which improved the exposition of the paper.

**References**

[1] Białas-Cieź L. Markov Sets in  $\mathbb{C}$  are not Polar. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences Mathematics 1998; 46 (1): 83-89.  
 [2] Białas-Cieź L , Jędrzejowski M. Transfinite diameter of Bernstein Sets in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2002; 7 (3): 393-404.

- [3] Fekete M. Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten. (German) *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 1923; 17 (1): 228-249.
- [4] Jedrzejowski M. Transfinite diameter and extremal points for a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Universitatis Iagellonicae Acta Mathematica* 1992; 29: 65-70.
- [5] Siciak J. Wiener's type sufficient conditions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Universitatis Iagellonicae Acta Mathematica* 1997; 35: 47-74.
- [6] Zaharjuta VP. Transfinite diameter, Tchebyshev constants and capacity of compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Matematicheskii Sbornik* 1975; 96 (138): 374-389.